vious references. P 29E

1903—04.
~~1905—6~~
Fl. Finance

File No 213
File No. ~~18 of 98-9~~
Serial Nos. ~~5 to~~
(Sls 1-7)

er references.

FILE No. R. F. 18 of 1898-99.

Conservancy establishment of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions of the Bangalore City.

To the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, No. Fl. ~~1981-2~~ 252-3, dated the ~~15th May 1903.~~ the 29th July 1903.

Requests the Deputy Commissioner to submit an explanation as to why the advance of Rs. 1,615-0-10 paid from August 1901 to 31st December 1902, towards the conservancy charges, was not adjusted out of the additional allotment of Rs. 50,000 sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl. 1981-2—R. F. 18-98, dated the 15th May 1903, to meet the charges of the conservancy establishment and to state from what source the expense should now be met.

From the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, No. 946, dated the 6th May 1905.

States that, for the reasons assigned, he was not able to adjust the advance in question out of the allotment of Rs. 50,000 or out of the allotment of Rs. 30,000 sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl. 413-4, dated the 12th August 1903, and therefore requests that the unadjusted advance in question may be paid out of the lapsed balance of the last grant of Rs. 30,000 withdrawn under Government Order No. 4706-10—L. F. 43-98, dated the 4th June 1904.

To the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, No. Fl. 8013. dated the 22nd May 1905.

Requests to be informed if the advance of Rs. 50,000 sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl. 1981-2—R. F. 18-98, dated 15th May 1903, has been completely accounted for and adjusted, and what portion of the advance sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl. 413-4—R. F. 18-98, dated 12th August 1903, was drawn and whether the same has been completely adjusted, and enquires if it is possible to ascertain from the vouchers submitted to him that the sum of Rs. 1,615 paid for charges of the conservancy establishment of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions was not expended out of the said advances.

From the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, No. 393—56, dated the 20th July 1905.

States that out of the sum of Rs. 3,15,000 placed at the disposal of the Municipality from time to time, which includes the said sums of Rs. 50,000 and 30,000, a sum of Rs. 2,81,867-9-0 was drawn till the end of June and adjusted as directed in Government Order No. 4706-10—R. F. 43-98, dated the 4th June 1904, leaving thus an undrawn balance of Rs. 15,749-12-3, and that it is not possible from the details given in the payees' receipts to make out whether the sum of Rs. 1,615-0-10 paid for the conservancy establishment was expended out of this advance or not.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, No. Fl. 841, dated the 31st July 1905.

Requests him to intimate the authority upon which the said sum of Rs. 581-11-2 was drawn and why it has not yet been adjusted.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, No. 211, dated the 1st September 1905.

States that as the charge was incurred prior to the transfer of the extensions to the Municipality, this sum also should be adjusted out of the lapsed balance of the grant of Rs. 30,000 sanctioned in Government Order No. Fl. 413-4—R. F. 18-98, dated 12th August 1903.

To the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, and the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, No. Fl. 2136-7, dated the 22nd September 1905.

Sanctions the adjustment of the sum of Rs. 2,196-12-0, being the charges incurred on account of the conservancy establishment of the extensions of Bangalore City from August 1901 to July 1903 and outstanding in the books of the Comptroller, as stated in his letter No. 393—56, dated the 20th July 1905.

Branch

File No. (if any)

Special Note.

Subject.

Conservancy Establishment of the Bobbarguddi and Lalkadamaram Extensions. Letter No 1414 of 12th June 1903 from the Honble Bungalow Sl.

As per orders of the Dewan of 2-11-01 Sanction was accorded to the payment up to date of the Salaries of the Conservancy Establishment of the Bobbarguddi and Lalkadamaram Extensions out of the Surplus available from the Special allotment of 2 lacs of rupees Sanctioned for the Extension and from the Same Source, the Establishment was ordered to be Continued till the End of the official year with the observation that necessary action should be taken for the re arrangement of the

H 616 of 7-11-01.

(41)

No 911 of 6-1-02.

Extensions lighting to be
overlaid the Municipality of
Bangalore.

On the representation
of the Hon'ble Bangalore Dt.
that the allotment of 2 lacks
of rupees - sanctioned for
the extensions lighting have
exhausted, the charges of the
Conservancy Establishment
Cannot be met from the
said allotment, by order
their order No. H1325-D/11.3.02
directed that the charges
of the Conservancy Establish-
ment may be paid and
the account - treated
as advances pending
final orders.

The Hon'ble now
submits for sanction a
detailed statement showing
the present demands as
advances for the above purpose
from August 01 to 31st December
at Rs 50/- per annum for the lights
and Rs 48/- per annum
for the Rajadanagar extensions.

* 799 -- re for
sanitat
816 -- re for
Rajadanga
1615 -- " 10 2

Under Govt. notification
(copy put up) No 18106 L Dt 92-96 D/24-6-03 the
re-arrangement of the Sections
were taken by the city Munici-
cipality.

Submitted - With regs
for orders
10/7/03

When the order v
11.3.02 was issued,
the additional allot-
ment v Rs: 50000.00 has
not been sanctioned -
It is not clear why
the advance in question
was not adjusted when
the additional amount
was sanctioned subse-
quently - We do
not have applied for
a further allotment
of Rs 30000/ which is
being submitted separately
for orders - We do
not should be told by

adjust the advance whenever additional allotment next becomes available - or from municipal funds, as the extensions are now included in Municipal limits.

VL
13/7/03

This should not be taken from Municipal funds. Staff the duties as suggested & reinstate.

[illegible]
15/7/03

Draft submitted accordingly [illegible]

VL
20/7/03

[illegible]
22/7/03

[illegible]

[illegible]
24/7/03

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.7 File No. 18 of 1898-9

Serial No. 51.

To the D.C. of the Bangalore dt, No: H. ~~1981-2~~ ~~of the~~ 15.5.03. & 52-3 dated the 29th July 1903

Requests the Dy. Comr. to submit an explanation as to why the advance of Rs: 1615-0-10 was not adjusted out of the additional allotment sanctioned subsequently, and to State from what source this excess should now be met;

From the DC of the Bangalore dt, No: 9468 of the 6.5.05.

States that, for the reasons stated, ~~that~~ he was not able to adjust the advance in question out of the allotment of Rs: 50000 sanctioned in G.O. No. H: 1981-2 R.718-98 of the 15.5.03, nor out of the allotment of Rs 30000 sanctioned in G.O. No: H: 413-48 of 12.8.03; and therefore requests that the unadjusted advance in question may be paid out of the lapsed balance of the last grant of Rs: 30,000/: withdrawn under the G.O. No: 4706-10 L.743-98 of the 4.6.04;

Attention is invited to para 5 of G.O. No: 4706-10 L.743-98 of the 4.6.04, laying down that no more ~~advances~~ sums will hereafter be drawn from the balance remaining out of the advances sanctioned hitherto from time to time for the improvement of the Bangalore city & for the opening out of the Basavangudi & Malleswaram Extensions. But what portion of Rs. 30000

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.2 File No. 18

Serial No.

Sanctioned in August 1903 was withdrawn by these Proceedings is not ascertainable from the papers here. Submitted for orders.

K.S
19.5.05.

Draft a clear letter with Compliments forwarding copy of the Deputy Com's letter and request him to state if the advance of Rs. 50000 sanctioned in G. Pro No. H1981-2 R718-95 dated the 15th May 1903 has been completely accounted for & adjusted, and what portion of the advance sanctioned in Pro. no. H.4134 R718-95 dated the 12th August 1903 was drawn and whether the amount drawn has been completely adjusted. & the Comptroller to ascertain if it would be possible from the vouchers submitted therein that the sum of Rs 1615-0-10 paid for conveyance establishment charges was not expended out of the advance drawn.

If the requisite information is available here urging in regard but need not be made

19-5.

Submitted with a draft according.

K.S
19/5/05.

draft noted by

Passed

G.R.S. 12]

neral and Revenue Secretariat.

R File No. 18 of 1905 98-99

Serial No. 53

To the Comptroller

No: H 8013 dated 22.5.05

requests to be informed if the advance of Rs. 50,000 sanctioned in G.O. No. H. 1981-2 / R.7 18-98 of 15-5-03 has been completely accounted for and adjusted and what portion of the advance sanctioned in G.O. No. H 413-4 / R.7.18-98 of 12-8-03 was drawn and whether the same has been completely adjusted and enquiries if it is possible to ascertain from the Vouchers submitted thereto that the sum of Rs. 1615 paid for charges of the Conservancy Establishment of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions was not expended out of the said advances.

From the Comptroller & the govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore No. 393/56 of 20-7-05

States that out of the sum of Rs. 3,15,000/- placed at the disposal of the Municipality from time to time which includes the said sums of Rs 50,000 and 30,000, a sum of Rs. 2,81,867-9-0 was drawn till the end of June and adjusted as directed in G.O. No. 4706-10 / L.7.43-98 of 4-6-04 leaving thus an undrawn balance of Rs. 15,749-12-3 and that it is not possible from the details given in the payee's receipts to make out whether the sum of Rs. 1615-0-10 paid for the Basavanguddi Conservancy Establishment was expended out of this advance or not.

The Comptroller points out that in addition to the sum of Rs. 1,615-0-10 a sum of Rs. 581-11-2 was drawn on the same account from January 1903 to July 1903.

Please see me with the file

H 25-7-05

Submd. 24/7/05.

Ask the Accts. to state the authority upon which Rs 581-11-2 was drawn as it has not yet been adjusted

H 27-7-05

Submd. 27/7/05.

Serial No.

Draft-rubi Vasey
Dl
5.7-05

Passed
Dl
28/7/1905

28.7.05

To the Dy Commr Bangalore
No 2l 8241 Dt 31.7.05.

Requests that he intimate the authority upon which the sum of ~~Rs~~ 581-11-2 was drawn & why it has not been adjusted.

From the Dy Commr Bangalore
No 211 Dt 1-9-05.

States that as the charge was incurred prior to the transfer of the Cantonment to the Municipality this sum also should be adjusted out of the lapsed balance of the grant of Rs 30000 sanctioned in G.O. No. Dl 413-4 Dt 12-8-1903.
R 518-98

The total sum to be adjusted now is 1615-4-10 + 581-11-2 = 2196-12-.
There is an unbroken balance of ~~Rs~~ 15,749-12-3 which the lapsed grant out of ~~Rs~~ 30000/- sanctioned from time to time

11/9 Dy
11.9.05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 18 of 1898 99

Serial No.

Neither the D. Coll. nor the President of the Municipal Com^n is able to account for the advance outstanding in the books of the Comptroller, as No 581-11-2. May we function its appointment

noted. Orders to issue

for a draft. W 11-9-05

13.9.1905

W 13-9-05

Draft Order 18/9/05

W

When was the Extension transferred to the Municipality?

W 19-9-05

Noted.

Please see Notification IV 1810 L dt 9279 B/ 24-6-1903. The extensions were handed over to the Municipality in the month of July 1903.

20/9/05 W

Draft sanctioning Establishment of the advance outstanding under reg

Passed 20.9.1905.

W 20-9-05

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2 05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 18 of ~~190~~ 1898-99

Serial No.

To the Comptroller to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

No FI 2136-7 of the 22/9/05

Sanctions under the adjustment of the sum of Rs 2196-12-0 being the charges incurred on account of the Conservancy establishment of the extensions of Bangalore city from August 1901 to July 1903 and debited in the books of the Comptroller as stated in his letter No 393/57 of the 20/7/05

(1)

Read:—

Letter No. 1414 of/12th June 1903 from the Director Bangalore dt.

R/

Eco. H. 252-3

of 29-7-03

29

order thereon.

The govt. order No. Fl 606 of 4-11-01 sanctioning was accorded to the payment-up to-date of the salaries of the Conservancy Establishment of the Bowringpet and Cantonment extensions (the Bangalore City), out of the supplies available from the special allotment of 2 ~~and odd~~ lakhs odd of rupees sanctioned for the extensions.

Again in January 1902 (No 911 of 6-1-02) orders were passed to continue the establishment and pay therein from

the above ~~Govt~~ Servants
till the end of the official
year when necessary
steps ~~shall~~ being initiated be
taken by the Municipality
in that behalf and the arrange-
ment of the Sweepers.

Once the superannuation
of the 103 Conservancy Bungalow
peons that the allotment
of ~~2 and 2 to the~~ the two
peons ~~shall~~ had been regularised
and the change of the
establishment ~~cannot~~ could form
the next ~~there~~ of the ~~budget~~ the
in their order No. 1(1223-60/
11-3-02 decided that
the changes ~~may~~ be
put into effect from
the date of the
said order and the
allotment of
quarters ~~to~~ the
allotment of the
vacancies
Sanctioned in the
order No. ~~1981-2~~ 1978 dt. 15-6-03.

Secretary of Education

from the above allot-
ment the sum of
Rs 1615-0-0 ~~being~~ the
~~account~~ paid from
August 01 to 31st Dec
1902, towards the Con-
tingency charges
for the Survey Bungalow
Dharwar subject to a
separate Statement
for fresh Sanction.

When the orders of 11.3.02
were issued, the additional
allotment of Rs 5000/-
had not been sanctioned
& it is not clear why
the advance Rs 1615-0-0
was not adjusted out
of the additional allot-
ment sanctioned
subsequently. If the Dy Com
is requested to adjust
the advance whenever
the additional allotment
next becomes available

The Dy Cr is re-
quested to submit
an explⁿ & to state
from what source
this excess shd now
be adjusted met.

20/7/03

22/7/03

Submitted to the Dy Comr Bangalore
for explanation as regd

512 D. 4650

11 nun 10/5/05

1904/05 — (52)

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
R. 7180 of 1898-9.

To The Secy: to Govt of Mysore
Finl & Rev Depts

Dated 9th May 1905

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. 946

Enclosure No.

Secy's No: FL252-3 of 29th July 1903.

Requests that the unadjusted advance of Rs 1615/-/10 may be directed to be paid out of the lapsed balance of the last grant of Rs 30,000/- re- the Conservancy Establishment Charges of the Basavanpur and Malleshwaram Extensions

P. 514

Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore District
Dated 6th may 1905.

From,

D. Devaraja Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In reply to the Government order No. H.C. 252-3 dated 29th July 1903 re the adjustment of Rs 1615-0-10 paid on August or to 31st December 02 towards the conservancy establishment charges the Basavanagudi & Malleswaram Pensions, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs 50,000/- sanctioned in Government Proceedings: No. H 1981-2 / R.F. 18-98 dated 15th may 1903 & referred to in the reference under reply was specially obtained to meet without payment of interest on the pressing payments on account of compensation for lands

previously acquired. Hence it was not found possible to meet therefrom the extra expenditure of Rs 1615-0-10. It was then intended to debit the charges to the subsequent grant of Rs 30,000/- made by Government in Proceedings No. H. 413-4 / R.F. 18-1898 dated 12th August 1903. But before any steps could be taken in the matter, the balance available out of the above fresh grant was withdrawn by Government in accordance with Proceedings No. 4706-10 / L.F. 43-98 dated 4th June 1904.

I therefore request that the unadjusted advance of Rs 1615-0-10 may be kindly directed to be paid out of the lapsed balance of the last grant of Rs 30,000/-.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

D R Ranganath

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

2

D. 314 (4)

Office of the Comptroller,
Mysore Government,
Bangalore, July 21/4 1905.

From

Rai N. G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,
Comptroller of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

To

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your docket No.Fl:8013/RF18-92 dated 22nd May '05, forwarding copy of a letter dated 9th May from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District regarding the adjustment of the advance of Rs.1615-0-10 drawn by him on account of the Conservancy establishment of the Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions.

With reference to the enquiry therein made in the first para, I beg to state that the Rs.50,000/- sanctioned in G. O. No.Fl:1981/2R.F. dated 15th May '03 and Rs.30,000/- sanctioned in G.O.Fl:413/4R.F. dated 12th August '03 are both included in the sum of Rs.3,15,000/- placed at the disposal of the Municipality from time to time and the adjustment of which formed the subject of G.O.No.4706-10/L343-98 dated 4-6-'04. While still there was a balance available out of the previous grant, an additional grant for the purpose used to be made by the Government. This led to withdrawals from both the grants simultaneously, and a reference to the receipts, on which they were drawn, received in this office shows that the Deputy Commissioner was not very particular to quote on them the specific grant from which it had to be paid. These charges were not classified in this office also with reference to any particular grant, but the whole

was

treated as a running account. According to this, a sum of Rupees 2,81,867-9-0 was drawn till the end of June '03, which has been adjusted as directed in Order No.4706-10/L.F dated 4-6-'04, and a further sum of Rs.17,382-10-9 was drawn in 1903-'04 which also has been adjusted against this same grant. These figures do not include any expenditure that may have been incurred by the Public Works Department on the same account. There remains therefore an undrawn balance of Rs.15,749-12-3.

With regard to the enquiry made in the 2nd para of the docket under reply, I have the honour to state that the details given on the payees receipts on which the withdrawals have been made, do not enable this office to make out whether the sum of Rs.1,615-0-10 paid for the Conservancy establishment was expended out of this advance or not. The detailed account of expenditure may have been maintained in the Deputy Commissioner's Office, which may be referred to for the required information. It may be pointed out that it is not only this sum of Rs.1,615-0-10 that is outstanding in the accounts of this office, but also a sum of Rs.581-11-2 drawn on the same account from January 1903 to July '03.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

G.R.S. 26]

(5)

File No. 18-98

Serial No 55

Diary No. of letter replied to 314

No. H. 841

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Genl. Fin.)
the 31st July 1905.

To

The Dy. Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

Date of issue do

Signed by W Sahyun (Asst.)

His letter No. 946 of the 9th May 1905 requesting that the unadjusted advances of Rs. 1615-0-10 drawn for payment of the charges of the Conservancy Establishment of the Basavanpadi and Madleswaram Extensions may be directed to be paid out of the Capsies balance of the last grant of Rs. 30,000.

sanctioned in Fin. no. H. 413-4 dated 18-8-1898 vide 12 August 403

Has the honor to state that the

over.

SUBJECT.

Comptroller wants to set that in ad-
dition to Rs. 1515-3-4
above referred to a sum of Rs. 581-11-2
drawn in the same account from
January 1st 1905 is entitled
in the accounts of this office
and to request him to be good enough
to intimate the authority upon which
the said sum of Rs. 581-11-2 was
drawn and why it has not yet
been objected

A.S. to 28.9.1905

Secretary.

28.9.05

RJ. 18-98
5(156)

(6) 11? 506 Rgul Tan D. 1020

1905/06

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Fin & Rev Depts

Dated 4th September 1905

Despatched ..

Received.

General No.
S No. 211

Enclosure No. one

Secy's No fl 841
RF 18-02 of
31st July 1905

Reports re the Readjustment of Rs 581-11-2 drawn on account of Charges of the Conservancy establishment of the two extensions of Bangalore City from January to July 1903.

Bangalore District.
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 1st September 1905.

S.No:-

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esq
Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To,

B. H. Venkatavaradaiyengar Esq:
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore
General & Revenue Departments,

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. R. 841
R.F. 18-02
of the 31st July 1905, enquiring why a
sum of Rs 581-11-2 drawn on account
of charges of the Conservancy Establishment
of the 2 Sections of Bangalore City
from January to July 1905 was not
adjusted yet, and under what authority
the advance was drawn, I have the
honor to state that the charge of the
2 Sections passed to the City Municipal
President, and all the records relating
thereto were transferred to him and
the Clerk told for the work is working
under

G.R.S. 26]

SLS

R.J File No. 18-92

(3) Serial No 53

Diary No. of letter replied to 4650.

No. H 8013

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

the 22nd May 1905.

To

The Comptroller Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue do

Signed by Mr Subyarayi Chetty

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter no: 946 of the 9.5.05, from the Dy Comr of the Bangalore Dt., on the subject of the advance of Rs: 1615-0-10 ~~permitted to be~~ drawn for payment of the Charges of the Conservancy Estt. of the Basavangudi & Malleswaram Extensions under the authority of the Govt Bo: no: H: 1325 of the 11.3.02, has the honor to request the Comptroller to be good enough to intimate if the advance of Rs. 50,000 sanctioned in G.O no: H: 1981-2 of the 15.5.03 has been R.718-98 completely accounted for & adjusted & what portion of the advance

over.

SUBJECT.

Sanctioned in Pro: No: H: 413-4 of the R.718-98
12.8.03 was drawn to whether the sa~~me amount drawn~~ has been completely adjusted.

He is further requested to state if it ~~would be~~ possible to ascertain from the vouchers submitted to him that the sum of Rs 1615-0-10 paid for conservancy settl. charges was not ~~s~~ defended out of the said advances ~~drawn~~.

By order

22.5.05

for Secretary.

K.S 17/5/05.

4
20-5-05

List c

Copied

Exam

under him. As such the Municipal President was written to in the matter referred to above. I herein enclose copy of his reply, stating that as the charge was incurred prior to the transfer of the Sic- -tensions to the Municipality this sum also should be adjusted out of the lapsed balance of the grant of Rs 30,000/, sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. F. 413-4 dated 12th August 1903. R.F. 18-98

There are no records in this office to enable me to furnish the in- -formation required by Government

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Docket No. 450 of the 16th August 1905;
from the President Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, to the
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
District.

His No. 336 dated 7th. August 1905.

With reference to the above, has
the honor to state that it is not
clear from the copy of General and
Revenue Secretary's No. F. 841 dated 31st
R.F. 18-02
July 1905. the purpose for and the
time at which the advance in question
was drawn. As however it appears
to have been drawn for expenses
connected with the extensions prior
to their transfer to the Municipality,
this amount will have also to be
adjusted from the lapsed balance
of the grant of Rs 30,000/ sanctioned
in Government Proceedings No. F. 413-4
R.F. 18-98
dated 12th August 1903. "Copy."

Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 187/98-9

Serial No. 57

Diary No. of letter replied to 1020

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, *the* 22d Sept 190 8

Date of issue do

Signed by M Sampurnaiah Asst

READ.—

Correspondence Ending with G O No 7 dt 9-11-12 D/ the 6th January 1902 Sanctioning the Continuance of the Temporary Conservancy Establishment of the Bangalore and Shivanagudi and

ORDER THEREON.—NO.

Realization of Extension of the Bangalore City Tax the Management of the Extensions hitherto as taken over by the Bangalore City Municipality

Secs T. 2 G O No 2(1325-6 D/11-3-02

over

SUBJECT

directing
~~ordering~~ that the charges
of the Conservancy Establ.
above referred to be
paid and the amount
treated as an advance
pending final orders.

3. Letter No 1414 B/Rec
12th June 1903 from the
D/Commr Bangalore &
Secretary for Sanction
a detailed Statement
~~showing the~~ for
1615-a-10 drawn as
advance for the above
purpose from 1st April
1901 to 31st December
1902

4. Letter No $\frac{393}{56}$ D/the 20th
July 1905 from the
Compiler & Editor
of Statistics pointing
out certain errors in
the Sum of
Rs 1615-a-10 above referred to

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

charges refunded when incurred prior to the transfer of the said establishments to the Municipality.

Under the circumstances stated the assessment of the sum of Rs 2196-12- being the charges incurred on account of the Conservancy establishment from August 1901 to July 1903 and outstanding on the books of the Municipality as stated in his letter of the 19th [illegible] and Revisions

Inasmuch as the above is